

Torture And Testicle Crushing At Nuremberg

. . . by Lasha Darkmoon

Confessions at Nuremberg were obtained under torture. The grimmest of these tortures, practiced mostly by Jewish operatives on their German prisoners of war, was testicle crushing.



(Left) German defendants at the Nuremberg War Crimes trials, 1946-1949. How many of them had their testicles crushed?

“The Holocaust legend is built on ‘confessions’ obtained by the use of torture.” So begins [an article](#) that has just been brought to my attention by an unknown emailer.

At the same time, by sheer coincidence, another correspondent has just sent me some stomach-churning details about *testicle crushing*. He ends his letter with these words : “This is what Jewish interrogators did to their German prisoners of war after WWII in order to get them to “sing”—i.e., to confess to crimes they never committed.”

I was a bit shocked by these words. To tell the truth, testicle crushing is not something I have thought about a great deal, nor do I wish to dwell too much on this distasteful subject. I am aware of course that a lot of testicle crushing went on at Nuremberg in order to wring confessions out of the prostrate Germans, but I had been unaware that American Jews had been foremost in the ranks of these torturers.

Apparently, as many as three out four interrogators at Nuremberg had been Jewish—and these Jewish interrogators, I was to learn to my horror, had been by far the most sanguinary and sadistic. There was almost no level of human depravity to which these monsters were not willing to sink, including forcing their German victims to eat excrement and to have sex with disinterred corpses. (See below)

Yes, so this is something we ought to bear in mind when watching all those Hollywood movies celebrating the heroic deeds of the Allies in World War Two and lamenting the horrors of the Holocaust: that Jewish interrogators, working for the Americans, are known to have beaten, tortured, and crushed the testicles of German defendants before charging them with war crimes at Nuremberg. Without these confessions, obtained under extreme torture, there is no solid proof that any Jewish Holocaust took place at all. There is only legend, hearsay and “eyewitness accounts”: like those of Elie Wiesel and his kind—accounts that have turned out, in retrospect, to be based on pure fantasy, fiction, and grotesque exaggeration.

Proponents of the official storyline say that some of the strongest evidence that “proves” the Holocaust—i.e. the systematic extermination of 6 million Jews in gas chambers on the

instructions of Hitler—consists of the supposed “confessions” of the German officials who were put on trial at Nuremberg. What they neglect to tell you is that most of these confessions were obtained under extreme torture. Indeed, according to Jewish investigator John Sack, torture was often [practiced for its own sake](#), even when there was nothing to find out. It was practiced for sheer pleasure: because it gave the torturers a ‘high’, a feeling of sadistic omnipotence, of orgasmic euphoria.

It has since been openly admitted in the memoirs of the top British official, Colonel Alexander Scotland, who ran the interrogation program, that thousands of Germans were [tortured by British Military Intelligence](#), under the direction of the Prisoner of War Interrogation Section (PWIS). This torture of German POWs occurred during the war to obtain military intelligence. After the war was over, it was used again in order to obtain confessions for convictions of “war crimes”.

German POWs reported that the torture techniques included deprivation of sleep, starvation, systematic beatings, ripping hair from the scalp, menacing with red-hot pokers, threatened use of electrical devices to deliver shocks, and, finally, the worst torture of all, the slow and systematic mangling of the spermatic cords of the testicles—a procedure that had their victims thrashing about and screaming like wild animals for hours.

The prosecutors at Nuremberg accused and convicted the Germans of murdering some 4 million people at Auschwitz. These charges were based largely upon the supposed “confessions” obtained by the torture of German officers, such as the [signed “confession” by Rudolf Höss](#), the commandant at Auschwitz, giving details of how he had personally supervised the murder of 2.5 million Jews.

In 1989, however, the Soviet government reduced the claim of the number killed at Auschwitz **from 4 million to 1.5 million**. (See *picture below*). This was **later reduced to 1 million**.

1948 - Supporting the creation of Israel, Auschwitz displays memorial that mourns 4 million murdered

1989 - The USSR releases captured Death Registry Volumes,... Auschwitz quietly revises plaque down to 1.5 mil

FOUR MILLION
PEOPLE SUFFERED
AND DIED HERE
AT THE HANDS
OF THE NAZI
MURDERERS
BETWEEN THE YEARS
1940 AND 1945

FOR EVER LET THIS PLACE BE
A CRY OF DESPAIR
AND A WARNING TO HUMANITY,
WHERE THE NAZIS MURDERED
ABOUT ONE AND A HALF
MILLION
MEN, WOMEN, AND CHILDREN,
MAINLY JEWS
FROM VARIOUS COUNTRIES
OF EUROPE.

AUSCHWITZ - BIRKENAU
1940 - 1945

Wait a minute! A
2.5 million people mistake?

Ernst Zundel and others are in
prison for only questioning the
Zionist number of 6 million jews



Was Israel created on a total lie?


Truth Does Not Fear Investigation

Deesillustration.com

It became apparent at once that if only 1 million Jews had died at Auschwitz, as was now *officially admitted*, it no longer became possible to state that 2.5 million Jews had been killed there under Höss while he was a commandant there. The “confession” by Höss that 2.5 million Jews had been killed at Auschwitz under his auspices was therefore worthless. It had been a confession clearly extracted under torture.

If, moreover, only 1 million Jews perished at Auschwitz instead of the 4 million originally claimed to have died there, it’s obvious that there has been an overestimate of three million dead Jews. It is no longer possible to assert that 6 million Jews died in the Holocaust. That becomes a mathematical impossibility.

And yet, incredibly, this mathematical impossibility is brazenly asserted to this day in every mainstream media outlet.



We are all expected to pretend that 6 million Jews minus 3 million Jews somehow equals 6 million Jews, just as Winston Smith (in Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*) was expected to believe that $2 + 2 = 5$. Winston Smith, you will remember, managed to believe this absurdity in the end, with the help of a little extra tuition he received via the famous "[rat torture](#)".

§

The startling revelation that almost all the German defendants at Nuremberg had had their testicles crushed must make us sit up and think. How can testimonies obtained under testicle crushing be regarded in any way as reliable?

Following reports that defendants were tortured at the [Malmedy massacre trial](#), the US Army formed the "Simpson Commission" to investigate the alleged misconduct. Judge Edward L. Van Roden was part of this commission. According to Van Roden's book, *American Atrocities in Germany*, out of 139 cases of treatment of alleged German "war criminals" who were investigated by the commission—and who were subsequently put on trial by the American Military Tribunal [in Dachau](#) after World War II—"137 of these Germans were tortured by [having their testicles crushed](#)."

Other methods used by the American interrogators included brutal beatings, placing a hood over prisoners and punching them in the face with brass knuckles, breaking their jaws, knocking out their teeth, putting them on starvation rations, and subjecting them to solitary confinement. The prisoners were then presented with prepared statements to sign. *Confess or face more torture!*

It emerged that *Jewish* prosecutors and interrogators had obtained complete control over the US Military tribunal that was to put German officials on trial for war crimes. This is seldom mentioned, as to do so is regarded as “anti-Semitic”. To state the unvarnished truth—that 137 Germans had their testicles mangled at Nuremberg by largely Jewish interrogators in order to obtain proof for the Holocaust—is regarded as “hate speech”.



Lt. William Perl (*pictured left*) was an Austrian Jew who had emigrated to America in 1940. He was the chief interrogator of Germans accused of the [Malmedy massacre](#). This was because he could speak fluent German; and indeed many of the interrogators at Nuremberg were German or Austrian Jews who had emigrated to America before WWII and were known as the ‘Ritchie Boys’. There were roughly 9000 of these Jews in America and they specialized in the “interrogation” of German prisoners. (See [here](#)).

Perl supervised the torture of the German defendants. He was an ardent and active Zionist and was assisted by other Jews in his endeavors to extract confessions by the infliction of maximum pain. Jews specializing in torture techniques at Nuremberg included Josef Kirschbaum, Harry Thon and Morris Elowitz. (See [here](#))

This is what Wikipedia has to say about the interrogation of Germans at Malmedy:

“The accusations [against the German defendants] were mainly based on the sworn and written statements provided by the defendants in Schwäbisch Hall. To counter the evidence given in the men’s sworn statements and by prosecution witnesses, the lead defense attorney, Lieutenant Colonel Willis M. Everett tried to show that the statements had been obtained by [inappropriate methods](#).

Note that exquisite euphemism: “inappropriate methods”. That’s how respectable, politically correct Americans refer to confessions obtained under *torture*. The methods are not described as horrendously cruel. They are not described as morally indefensible. They are described as “inappropriate”.

On Sept. 25, 1945, Thomas Dodd, who was the second in command on the American prosecution team at Nuremberg, made the following observation in which he claimed that three out of four interrogators at Nuremberg were Jewish:

“You know how I have despised anti-Semitism,” he said. “You know how strongly I feel toward those who preach intolerance of any kind. With that knowledge, you will understand when I tell you that this staff is about [seventy-five percent Jewish](#).”

One person who has made a close study of the Nuremberg trial archives in the original German and knows more about this subject than anyone else I know is the multilingual American scholar [Carlos W. Porter](#) who is fluent in German, French, Italian, Spanish and Portuguese. Having renounced his American citizenship in 1984, and having then relocated to Belgium with his wife and children, the Holocaust revisionist author of [“Not Guilty at Nuremberg”](#)

took the trouble to write to me about the Nuremberg trials in a private communication (July 28, 2015 at 9:55 pm). Porter confirmed what I had always suspected: that most of the American interrogators at Nuremberg had been Jews, and that torture had been freely practiced against the helpless Germans on trial in order to force them to confess to non-existent crimes:

“You can be absolutely CERTAIN,” Carlos Porter wrote to me, **“that nearly ALL the interrogators and interpreters in ALL the trials were Jewish, because the Americans stamped out the German language from all American schools during WWI, so German Jewish refugees were almost the only competent people they had. Of course, other “German-Americans” could not be trusted not to be “Nazis”, so they were stuck with the German Jews.**

That there was a great deal of mistreatment and torture in the minor trials is absolutely certain. I reproduced a couple of accounts of torture at the Dachau trials in “War Crimes Trials and Other Essays”. But I’m sure it’s only the tip of the iceberg. Minor personnel could be tortured with impunity, and 99% of them would be afraid even to mention it. It would be hard to get away with torturing somebody like Goering though.

There’s a lot of literature on the subject, more all the time. The Brits appear to have been surprisingly enthusiastic torturers, whether Jewish or not.”

Testicle crushing, incidentally, is a tried and tested method for obtaining confessions. It was used throughout the Middle Ages and particularly in France during the French Revolution. That the Jews, a scholarly race noted for their vast erudition and academic achievements, should have mastered all the techniques of testicle crushing is therefore not surprising. Their talents for acquiring such outré information must not be underestimated.

Though Perl had lots of German blood on his hands, he was nevertheless allowed by the Americans to serve as a prosecutor at the Nuremberg War trials. Another Jew of note at the Nuremberg war crimes trials was **Richard W. Sonnenfeldt**. He was the chief interpreter for American prosecutors such as Perl. He “interrogated” some of the most notorious Nazi leaders of World War II and died in 2009, age 86, at his home in Port Washington, N.Y. (See [here](#))

The Presiding Judge at Nuremberg was also—coincidence?—a Jew. His name was **A.H. Rosenfeld** and he was a colonel in the American army. Col. Rosenfeld cheerfully admitted to torturing German prisoners of war as a matter of policy. “We couldn’t have made those birds talk otherwise,” he remarked cynically. “It was a trick, and [it worked like a charm.](#)”



In a recent private email to me, in response to some of my queries about torture at Nuremberg, Thomas Goodrich, acclaimed author of [Hellstorm : The Death of Nazi Germany \(1944-1947\)](#), mentioned the names of four well-known Jews in the American zone whom he identified as “torturer-inquisitors”: Harry Thon, William Perl, AH Rosenfeld, and Shlomo Morel.

This last-named individual, **Shlomo Morel** (*pictured right*), was a particularly nasty piece of work whom Goodrich describes as follows:

“a vicious monster who drowned men and women in outdoor latrines, who made them eat excrement, who personally beat captives to

death, and who forced women to kiss and make love with disinterred corpses.”
(For more grisly details, confirming all this, see [here](#))

After escaping from the killing fields of Germany, where he had taken immense pleasure in dancing over mounds of corpses and shedding rivers of human blood, this cruel psychopath **“lived out his life in comfort and ease in Israel.”** Yes, Israel!—the final bolthole and refuge dump for many a runaway Jew fleeing the long arm of the law, a place described in a prescient comment by Adolf Hitler as early as 1925 as **“a haven for convicted scoundrels and a university for budding crooks.”** (*Mein Kampf*, chapter 11, [excerpt](#).)

§

Here now are some grisly details about testicle crushing that the reader may find of some interest. These distasteful details are given here only because they are relevant to our discussion. If you are of a queasy disposition and prone to easy vomiting, you are advised to skip the description and stop reading right here. Remember that all this was done to 137 Germans at Nuremberg in order to extract confessions from them with a view to establishing the “truth” about the Holocaust. Without all that testicle crushing, the Holocaust might have been much harder to prove.

“Standard practice [to obtain castration] in France from the Middle Ages to the French Revolution was to crush the condemned’s testicles in a vise, which burst them as mush from the scrotum, then crunch the spermatic cords with pliers. The condemned was turned upside down in order to maximize the blood flow to his brain, after which he was unable to pass out or enter a state of shock until, perhaps, the last few seconds of his ordeal.

The condemned was sure to vomit repeatedly with violent convulsions, even well after he had voided the contents of his stomach, but he rarely screamed except for an initial shriek, which immediately silenced, because the pain overwhelmed his ability to breathe. Most men would hang and thrash wildly during and after the crushing of each testicle, and their thrashing would renew upon the crushing of each spermatic cord.

This torture method (accompanied by others) was usually reserved for the crime of regicide or attempted regicide. The condemned was mercifully put to death afterwards, but his torture routinely lasted for the better part of a day, witnessed by large crowds. It is interesting to note that, whereas most crowds were instructed to jeer, mock, and ridicule the condemned, and did so even during a disemboweling, and drawing and quartering, most crowds remained silent and stared with shocked expressions as a castration was carried out in this manner.

Onlookers, male and female, are recorded to have vomited at the sight of the spectacle. (See [here](#) and [here](#))

Yes, they certainly knew what they were doing at Nuremberg! They were the experts.

Let Thomas Goodrich have the last word:

“A true history of the War can never be written since most of the participants are now dead and those yet living would never admit to their crimes. I am sure that the crimes committed against Germany that we KNOW of are just the beginning.”
— Private email to this writer

Key Texts : Selected background reading

- **Thomas Goodrich**, *Hellstorm : The Death of Nazi Germany, 1944-1947*
 - **Mark Weber**, “The Nuremberg Trials and the Holocaust,” *The Journal of Historical Review* (Vol. 12, No. 2), Summer 1992. http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v12/v12p167_Webera.html
 - **Mark Weber**, Part 2 of “The Nuremberg Trials and the Holocaust”, [On Torture at Nuremberg](#)
 - **Giles MacDonogh**, *After the Reich: The Brutal History of the Allied Occupation* (2009)
 - **Mark Weber** (book review of above), “New Book Details Mass Killings and Brutal Mistreatment of Germans at the End of World War Two.” (IHR: 2007). <http://www.ihr.org/other/afterthereich072007.html>
 - **James Bacque**, *Crimes and Mercies: The Fate of German Civilians Under Allied Occupation, 1944–1950* (2007)
 - **James Bacque**, *Other Losses: An Investigation into the Mass Deaths of German Prisoners at the Hands of the French and Americans after World War II* (2011)
 - **Ralph Franklin Keeling**, *Gruesome Harvest : The Allies’ Postwar War Against the German People* (1992)
 - **John Sack**, [An Eye For An Eye : The Story of Jews who sought Revenge for the Holocaust](#) (2000)
- See also : **Address by John Sack** at IHR conference “Behind An Eye for an Eye : Revenge, Hate and History” (May 2000) http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v20/v20n1p-9_Sack.html
- **Alfred-Maurice de Zayas**, *A Terrible Revenge : The Ethnic Cleansing of the East European Germans* (2006)
 - **Benton L. Bradberry**, *The Myth Of German Villainy* (2012)