

SAGS / SASBCB conference

STUDENT Oral Presentations

STUDENT NAME
PRESENTATION NUMBER

MSc Phd

	Needs Improvement		Average			Above Average	
1. Research Content							
The abstract accurately summarized the research presented	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The introduction provided short, yet pertinent information about the project	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The methodology or techniques employed were clearly and accurately described	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Data were clearly and systematically presented	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The results were detailed and explained accurately	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Discussion exhibited good critical thinking. Provided supporting arguments with reason, evidence and examples	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The presentation provided implications for future research and/or practical applications	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Presentation Delivery							
Active participant in presenting the research (<i>i.e.</i> , interested in questions, outgoing, knowledgeable about research topic)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Good projection and use of voice. Eye contact with audience and positive body language	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Exhibiting a strong understanding of the information and the topic	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The presenter responded appropriately and with authority to questions	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The presenter made good use of the allocated time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3. Presentation Aids							
The slides/visual aids were accurately presented, and aided the researcher's comments	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Slide information was legible, well-organized, grammatically correct, and large enough to see from anywhere in the room	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL							
COMMENTS (Please continue on back if needed):							

PLEASE RETURN ALL FORMS TO
THE REGISTRATION DESK